Submitted to Large Project Oversight on 8/17/2016

GENERAL INFORMATION

Project Name: SLDS Data UP

Agency Name: ITD

Project Sponsor: Lisa Feldner **Project Manager:** Jennifer Kunz

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The ND Data Utilization Project (Data UP) builds on and supplements (not supplants) the progress made with the Statewide Longitudinal Data System (SLDS) thus far. It moves stakeholders from data access to data utilization. The Data UP works to improve data literacy and use for current and pre-service teachers, improve use of student-level longitudinal data to increase college readiness and completion, and promote strategies designed to support data-driven strategies to better meet workforce demand and improve workforce supply and demand policy development.

The grant for Data UP was awarded in September 2015. The project will span four years to September 30, 2019 with a total grant award of \$6,475,690. An initiation phase was completed in April 2016 with the approval of the project charter. Various planning and proof of concept (POC) activities occurred March through July 2016, including the formation of various workgroups and resources acquired. The Project Plan was approved on July 19, 2016 and the Execution Phase start date was 8/1/2016.

BUSINESS NEEDS AND PROBLEMS

The specific primary business needs to be met by this project are as follows:

- 1. In-service and pre-service teachers effectively utilize SLDS data toward improving PK-12 student achievement
- 2. Use longitudinal data to improve postsecondary education retention rates
- 3. Use longitudinal data to increase postsecondary achievement rates
- 4. Build supply/demand data marts for use by institutional researchers and labor organizations to better address workforce demands.

PROJECT BASELINES

Baseline Start Date	Baseline End Date	Baseline Budget
8/1/2016	9/30/2019	\$6,475,690

OBJECTIVES

Business Objective	Measurement Description
Business Need/Problem 1: In-service and pre-	
service teachers effectively utilize SLDS data	
toward improving PK-12 student achievement	
1.1 Improve on-demand user supports and	Measurement 1.1.1: Create digital SLDS Reports User Guide
high quality digital training system	

Submitted to Large Project Oversight on 8/17/2016

	Measurement 1.1.2: Create training videos for accessing DLDS and DLDS
	reports Measurement 1.1.3: Expand resources to include standards based
	assessments (new NDSA Smarter Balance)
	Measurement 1.1.4: Expand portal to include interactive integrated
	data produced by teachers (grouping by skill cohort, professional
	development, interventions applied, etc.)
	Measurement 1.1.5: Develop a Training data warehouse and training
	portal site with real case studies
	Measurement 1.1.6: Expand on the PK12 warehouse to include multiple
	instructional staff roles assigned to a classroom and implement teacher
	of record assignments linked to PEP-20W and ESPB (teacher licensing)
	which will expand the Staff domain in the SLDS and link the teacher
	through JSND, K12 and PEP-20W
1.2 Formalize data utilization plan	Measurement 1.2.1: Analyze and interpret needs assessment data
	Measurement 1.2.2: Outline process for addressing gaps in data use
	Measurement 1.2.3: Formalize training plan based on A+ Inquiry
	Framework
	Measurement 1.2.4: Develop course modules for pre-service teacher
	certification
	Measurement 1.2.5: Develop governance on SLDS training certification
1.3 Implement data utilization training plan	Measurement 1.3.1: Conduct training for in-service teachers using the
	A+ Inquiry Framework
	Measurement 1.3.2: Conduct train the trainer for teacher educators
	across the state
	Measurement 1.3.3: Offer college course modules for pre-service
1.4 Assess effectiveness of data utilization	teachers Massurament 1.4.1: Implement follow up system on pre-service
training	Measurement 1.4.1: Implement follow-up system on pre-service teachers, yearly reports
training	Measurement 1.4.2: Implement follow-up on in-service teachers
	Measurement 1.4.3: Produce data use reports on all teachers in the
	SLDS available to school administrators
Business Need/Problem 2: Use longitudinal	
data to improve postsecondary education	
retention rates	
2.1 Provide NDUS with risk factor Data	Measurement 2.1.1: Research longitudinal student data with a high
	focus on students who stop out
	Measurement 2.1.2: Define risk factor formula customized for each type
	of college
	Measurement 2.1.3: Send risk factor data marts to NDUS and establish
	data exchanges
2.2 Utilize longitudinal data to identify	Measurement 2.2.1: Align data definitions to support data transmissions
retention rate factors for ND postsecondary	between the SLDS and PAR and student retention systems that support
student	PAR
	Measurement 2.2.2: Analyze student high school course taking patterns
	and grades in relation to postsecondary retention customized by type of
	college entered
	Measurement 2.2.3: Evaluate effect of course taking patterns and
	grades on postsecondary student retention Measurement 2.2.4: Provide predictive analytics regarding course taking
	patterns and college retention
	patterns and conege retendon

Submitted to Large Project Oversight on 8/17/2016

2.3 Utilize data to develop interventions	Measurement 2.3.1: Risk factor and retention factor findings are shared
and strategies that support retention at	with PAR and campus advisors
NDUS	Measurement 2.3.2: Retention interventions and strategies are
	developed and articulated
	Measurement 2.3.3: Assess effectiveness of interventions and strategies
	to support retention at NDUS
	Measurement 2.3.4: Results are made available to NDUS and policy makers
	Measurement 2.3.5: Results are made available to prospective higher
	education students toward matching their experience with institutions
	in which they are more likely to succeed.
Business Need/Problem 3: Use longitudinal	
data to increase postsecondary achievement	
rates	
3.1 Develop crosswalk of degree programs	Measurement 3.1.1: Utilize common course numbering where available
at NDUS colleges	to crosswalk degrees across NDUS (an existing project within NDUS to
	be completed in the next two years)
	Measurement 3.1.2: Identify stackable certificates and degrees that
2.2 Superado Het of u	exist
3.2 Expand pilot of reverse transfer process	Measurement 3.2.1: Collaborate with Texas to determine lessons
	learned toward comparing student credit attainment to credential attainment across the higher education system (results to WEAC)
	Measurement 3.2.2: Identify two community colleges and two regional
	universities or research universities to participate in pilot
	Measurement 3.2.3: Develop reports that include credit hour summary
	for students to see what certificates and associate degrees they are
	getting close to meeting
	Measurement 3.2.4: Within a community college work with course data
	to determine if students are close to receiving a degree or certificate
	and provide this insight to the college and student
	Measurement 3.2.5: Provide insight to the transferring colleges of the
	number of students that could receive credentials if courses were
	offered
	Measurement 3.2.6: Develop policy and process recommendations for
	Reverse Transfer Agreements
3.3 Increase completion rates of	Measurement 3.3.1: Identify students at risk of drop out or stop out
postsecondary drop out and stop out	Measurement 3.3.2: Identify dropout and stop-out students that have
students	completed significant credit hours
	Measurement 3.3.3: Analyze credit attainment toward varying certificates and degrees utilizing crosswalk
	Measurement 3.3.4: Define and implement a student contact and re-
	engagement plan who have completed 75% or more toward a specific
	certificate or degree to advise them of certificate or degree completion
	options
Business Need/Problem 4: Build	
supply/demand data marts for use by	
institutional researchers and labor	
organizations to better address workforce	
demands	
4.1 Develop CIP to SOC crosswalk	Measurement 4.1.1: Work with JSND to target high demand SOCs as
	priority area to begin SOC analysis

Submitted to Large Project Oversight on 8/17/2016

	Measurement 4.1.2: Identify CIPs within the high demand SOCs
	Measurement 4.1.3: Collaborate with MN to complete CIP to SOC
	crosswalk and make available as domain data in the SLDS
4.2 Identify postsecondary students	Measurement 4.2.1: Identify JSND data sets needed in the SLDS and
working toward certificates and degrees in	model them based on SOC
high demand occupations	Measurement 4.2.2: SLDS Workgroup assists in identifying high demand
	CIP codes
	Measurement 4.2.3: Develop data marts from NDUS SLDS data sources
	that produce workforce pipeline data
	Measurement 4.2.4: Promote CIPs that are not meeting demand
4.3 Build Supply/Demand data marts for	Measurement 4.3.1: Bring in the required LMI data into the SLDS
use by institutional researchers and labor	Measurement 4.3.2: Develop student education enrollment data marts
agencies	Measurement 4.3.2: Develop workforce enrollment data marts
	Measurement 4.3.2: Produce supply/demand reports requested by
	stakeholders and make publicly available
4.4 More effectively and efficiently utilize	Measurement 4.4.1: Track completers to see if they are filling the high
NDUS as a workforce supply source	demand jobs
	Measurement 4.4.2: Feedback reports to NDUS on all graduate
	placement in ND industries by college, SOC, CIP, degree and program
4.5 Utilize data to improve consumer	Measurement 4.5.1: Develop reports available to businesses, economic
information and research	development, legislators and the public on ND supply/demand as it
	relates to our education pipeline
	Measurement 4.5.2: Make de-identified research data sets available for
	other research
	Measurement 4.5.3: Collect data and measure outcomes of short term
	workforce development programs for program administers to measure
	return on investment

COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS

The ND SLDS has become a demanded data source across sectors and ND is currently meeting the needs and uses for SLDS with partnering statewide agencies. ND has completed seven of the ten State Actions that support a culture of effective data use, including 1) linked data systems, 2) stable, sustained support, 3) governance structures, 4) state data repositories, 6) production of progress reports using individual student data to improve student performance, 7) creation of reports using longitudinal statistics to guide system wide improvement efforts, and 8) development of a P-20/workforce research agenda. This progress has positioned the state well for this project, but there are three of the ten State Actions not currently fully met that are addressed by this project: improve data literacy for current and pre-service teachers, improve use of student-level data to increase college retention and completion, and promote data-driven strategies to better meet workforce demands in the state.

KEY CONSTRAINTS AND/OR RISKS

Risks of Performing the Project:

• Risk: Current operating and maintenance cost will increase as SLDS continues to grow.

Submitted to Large Project Oversight on 8/17/2016

- Impact: Upon completion of the project, general maintenance and operating costs will increase as the SLDS system expands to accommodate additional features and data sets. However this type of growth is expected in a longitudinal data 'system'
- Response: Track operating costs and provide as much notice as possible of complications as they arise
- Risk: With recent budget cuts will the state still be able to supply in kind cost
 - o Impact: In-kind costs are primarily SLDS staff, equipment and licensing. Staff time will be affected at the completion of this project as maintenance is transited to the SLDS operating costs. Equipment and Licensing may increase moderately, however, this type of grow is expected in a longitudinal data system.
 - Response: Track budget closely and provide as much notice as possible of complications as they arise

Risks of Not Performing the Project:

- Risk: Decision makers would not have the data needed to make informed-decisions.
 - Impact: Educators and SLDS users will not be trained in data utilization and would not use the information to make informed-decisions and improve the outcomes of student education as effectively. The lack of quality information on student post-secondary retention and degree obtainment would continue to be anecdotal and not enriched with data and research that can change the way student interventions are approached. ND economic and workforce development administrators would continue lacking needed information on fill high-demand jobs in ND.
 - Response: Process would continue as it is today
- Risk: Training Site would not be created
 - Impact: An objective of this project is to create an SLDS training site which is needed regardless of this
 project. Today there is no way to train individuals except on identifiable student data which limits the
 training engagement to PowerPoint slides
 - Response: Process would continue as it is today